arXiv:1605.02436v1 [math.DS] 9 May 2016

Distribution of orbits of unipotent groups on S-arithmetic homogeneous spaces

Keivan Mallahi-Karai

May 10, 2016

Abstract

We will prove an S-arithmetic version of a theorem of Dani-Margulis on the convergence of ergodic averages of a given bounded continuous function, when the initial point is outside certain compact subsets of the singular set associated to the unipotent flow.

1 Introduction

The goal of this note is to prove a version of a theorem of Dani and Margulis in an S-arithmetic context. In [2], Dani and Margulis proved the following uniform version of Ratner's theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *[2] Let G be a connected Lie group, and Γ be a lattice in G. Let $U = (u_t)$ denote a one-parameter Ad-unipotent subgroup of G. Consider the data consisting of a bounded continuous function $\phi : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$, a compact set $\mathscr{K} \subseteq G/\Gamma$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a finite number of proper closes subgroups $H_1, \dots, H_k$ such that $H_i \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, and compact sets $\mathscr{C}_i \subseteq X(H_i, U)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that for every compact set $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{K} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_i\Gamma/\Gamma$, for all $x \in \mathscr{F}$ and $T \gg 0$, the following holds:*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_{[0,T]} \phi(u_t x)\ dt - \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi d\mu \right| < \epsilon.$$

Here, and in the rest of the article, for a closed subgroups H and W of a Lie group G, the set $X(H, W)$ is defined by $X(H, W) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}Wg \subseteq H\}$. It is clear that if $H \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H, then for any $g \in X(H, W)$ the orbit $Wg\Gamma$ is included in $gH\Gamma$, which is a closed set carrying a finite H-invariant measure. Such points are called *singular points*. The set of singular points will be denoted by $\mathscr{S}(W)$. The complement in G/Γ of the set of singular points is called the set of genetic points and is denoted by $\mathscr{G}(W)$. In [2], it is shown that if W is connected and generated by Ad-unipotent elements, then $\mathscr{S}(W)$ is the union of $X(H, W)\Gamma/\Gamma$, where H runs over all closed connected subgroups of G, such that $H \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H, and $\mathrm{Ad}(H \cap \Gamma)$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$.

Perhaps one of the striking features of this theorem is that for the equidistribution up to an error of size ϵ to be achieved, only a compact subset of a union of finitely many singular sets need to be removed. In other words, all but finitely many singular orbits behave as dense ones, for a given test function ϕ and error tolerance ϵ. In [2], Dani and Margulis use this theorem to give asymptotically exact lower bounds for the number of integer vectors in a given ball satisfying $Q(v) \in (a, b)$, where Q varies over a compact family of indefinite quadratic forms. In accordance with what was said before, all but finitely many *rational* quadratic forms obey the asymptotic behavior for a given tolerance ϵ.

Note that G is an arbitrary (and not necessarily algebraic, or even linear) Lie group, and Γ does not have to be arithmetic. The version of the theorem proven in this paper involves S-arithmetic groups, which are sufficient for many applications. It turns out that in this setting, a more restricted class

of algebraic subgroups can appear as the orbit closures. This class was introduced in [7] under the name class $\mathcal{F}$ (see definition 2.4). To state the theorem we also need a substitute for the domain of the unipotent flow (or S-adic time). The related definitions are given in Section 2.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a k-algebraic group, $G = \mathbf{G}(k_S)$, and Γ an S-arithmetic lattice in G, and μ denote the G-invariant probability measure on G/Γ. Let $U = \{(u_v(t_v))_{v\in S} | t_v \in k_v\}$ be a one-parameter unipotent k_T-subgroup of G, and let $\phi : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded continuous function. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be a compact subset of G/Γ, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist finitely many proper subgroups $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_k$ of class $\mathcal{F}$, and compact subsets $C_i \subseteq X(P_i, U)$, where $P_i = \mathbf{P}_i(k_S)$, $1 \le i \le k$, such that the following holds: for any compact subset $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{K} - \cup_i C_i\Gamma/\Gamma$ there exists T_0 such that for all $x \in \mathscr{F}$ and $\mathsf{T} \succ \mathsf{T}_0$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_S(I(\mathsf{T}))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T})} \phi(u(t)x) d\lambda_T(t) - \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi d\mu \right| \le \epsilon.$$

The general line of argument is similar to the one in [2]. There are a number of places in which technicalities arise that need to be handled differently.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce some notation and recall a number of theorems from [7] that will be used in this paper.

Let k be a number filed, i.e., a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and let v be a valuation of k, and $|\cdot|_v$ denoted the associated norm. A standing assumption is this paper is that v is normalized, i.e. $v(k^*) = \mathbb{Z}$. The completion of k with respect to v is denoted by k_v. The set of elements of $x \in k_v$ satisfying $|x|_v \le 1$ is called the ring of integers of k_v. Note that $(k_v, +)$ is an abelian locally compact group. The Haar measure on $(k_v, +)$ normalized such that it assigns 1 to the ring of integers of k_v is denoted by λ_v.

Let us mention in passing that k_v contains a competition of the p-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where $p = p(v)$ is a prime when v is non-archimedean and $p = \infty$ when v is archimedean. Let S be a finite set of normalized valuations of k containing the set S_∞ of Archimedean ones. We write $S_f = S - S_f$, and $k_T = \oplus_{v\in T} k_v$ for any $T \subseteq S$. We will also denote by $\mathcal{O}_S$ (or simply $\mathcal{O}$) the ring of S-integers in k. Likewise, given a subset $T \subseteq S$, we will fix the Haar measure $\lambda_T = \prod_{v\in T} \lambda_v$ on K_T. We also equip K_T with the supremum norm

$$\|(x_v)\| = \sup_{v\in T} |x_v|_v.$$

Throughout this paper, we will use bold upper scale letters (such $\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{P}$, etc.) for algebraic groups defined over k. The k_S points of these groups are denoted by the corresponding letter case (such as G, P, etc.). Having fixed a k-algebraic group G, and a set S of places as above, we denote by Γ an S-arithmetic subgroup of G. This means that Γ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ are commensurable subgroups of $\mathbf{G}(k)$. When G/Γ is a lattice, we denote by μ the unique G-invariant probability measure on G/Γ.

The S-arithmetic analogue of Theorem 1.1 will naturally involve averaging $\phi(u_t x)$, where t ranges over an S-interval. Let us give fix some definitions. For a subset $T \subseteq S$, let $\mathsf{T} = (T_v)_{v\in T} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^T$, and $a = (a_v)_{v\in T} \in k_T$. The T-interval centered at a of radius T is the subset of k_T defined by

$$I(a, \mathsf{T}) = \{(x_v)_{v\in T} \in k_T : |x_v - a_v|_v \le \mathsf{T}(v), \forall v \in T\}.$$

For a fixed $v \in S$ and $r > 0$, we define the k_v-interval

$$I_v(r) = \{x \in k_v : |x| \le r\}.$$

Set $\mathsf{T} = (T_v)_{v\in S}$, where T_v is an integral power of v for $v \in S_f$ and a real number for $v \in S_\infty$. Call T an S-time. The magnitude of an S-time is defined by

$$|\mathsf{T}| = \prod_{v\in S} T_v$$

Note that $\lambda_T(I(a,\mathsf{T}))=|T|$ for all $a\in k_T$. We will also write $m(\mathsf{T})=\min_{v\in S}T_v$, where T_v is considered as a real number. The set of all S-time vectors is denoted by $\mathbb{T}_S$. For $\mathsf{T}=(T_v),\mathsf{T}'=(T'_v)\in\mathbb{T}_S$, we write $\mathsf{T}\succ\mathsf{T}'$ if $T_v\geq T'_v$ for all $v\in S$. We write $\mathsf{T}_i=(T_{v,i})_{v\in S}\to\infty$ if $T_{v,i}\to\infty$ for each $v\in S$. For $v\in S_f$, assume that $\varpi\in k_v$ is such that $v(\varpi)=-1$. For an interval $L=I_v(r)$, we will write $\hat{L}=I_v(\varpi r)$, so that $\lambda_v(\hat{L})=|\varpi|_v\lambda_v(L)$.

Remark 2.1. Let v be a non-archimedean place. The ultrametric property of the norm implies that if $b\in I_v(a,r)$, we have $I_v(b,r)=I_v(a,r)$. This in particular implies that if J_1 and J_2 are two intervals with a non-empty intersection, then $J_1\subseteq J_2$ or $J_2\subseteq J_1$.

We will need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $L_1,\dots,L_r\subseteq k_v$ be disjoint intervals. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_v(L_i)\leq\lambda_v(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\hat{L}_i).$$

Note that $\mathbf{G}(k_v)$ is naturally embedded in $G=\prod_{v\in S}\mathbf{G}(k_v)$. By a one-parameter k_v-subgroup $U_v=\{u_v(t)\}$ of G we mean a non-trivial k_v-rational homomorphism $u_v:k_v\to\mathbf{G}(k_v)$. Let $T\subseteq S$ and for each $v\in T$, let $U_v=\{u_v(t_v):t_v\in k_v\}$ be a one-parameter unipotent k_v-subgroup. Then the direct sum $u_T:k_T\to G$ defined by $u_T((t_v)_{v\in T})=(u_v(t_v))_{v\in T}$ is called a one-parameter unipotent k_T-subgroup of G. One of the key properties of the unipotent subgroups is the non-divergence properties of the unipotent flow that plays an essential role in the measure classification results for the actions of these groups. The following S-arithmetic version of a quantitative non-divergence theorem will later be needed in this paper:

Theorem 2.3 ([7],Theorem 3.3)**.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a k-algebraic group, $G=\mathbf{G}(k_S)$, and Γ an S-arithmetic lattice in G, and μ denote the G-invariant probability measure on G/Γ. Let $U=\{u_v(t_v)|t_v\in k_v\}$ be a one-parameter unipotent k_T-subgroup of G. Let $\epsilon>0$ and $\mathscr{K}\subseteq G/\Gamma$ be a compact set. Then there exists a compact subset $\mathscr{K}$ such that for any $x\in\mathscr{K}_1$ and any T-interval $I(\mathsf{T})$ in k_T, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(I(\mathsf{T}))}\lambda_T\{t\in I(\mathsf{T})|u(t)x\notin\mathscr{K}_1\}<\epsilon.$$

Definition 2.4. A connected k-algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{F}$ relative to S if for each proper normal k-algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{Q}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ there exists $v\in S$ such that $(\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{Q})(k_v)$ contains a non-trivial unipotent element.

Let Γ be an S-arithmetic lattice in G. If $\mathbf{P}$ is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ then for any subgroup P' of finite index in $\mathbf{P}(k_S)$, we have $P'\cap\Gamma$ is an S-arithmetic lattice in P'. The following theorems have been proven in [7].

Proposition 2.5 ([7], Theorem 4.2)**.** *Let $M\subseteq k_v^m$ be Zariski closed. Given a compact set $A\subseteq M$ and $\epsilon>0$, there exists a compact set $B\subseteq M$ containing A such that the following holds: for a compact neighborhood Φ of B in k_v^m, there exists a neighborhood Ψ of A in k_v^m such that for any one-parameter unipotent subgroup $\{u(t)\}$ in $\mathbf{GL}_m(k_v)$, and any $\mathbf{w}\in k_v^m-W_0$, and any interval $I\subseteq k_v$ containing 0, we have*

$$\lambda_v\{t\in I:u(t)\mathbf{w}\in\Psi\}\leq\epsilon\cdot\lambda_v\{t\in T:u(t)\mathbf{w}\in\Phi\}.$$

We will also need the following theorem, which the S-adic analogue of Theorem 2 in [2].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a k-algebraic group, $G=\mathbf{G}(k_S)$, and Γ be an S-arithmetic lattice in G. Let $U^{(i)}=\{u_v^{(i)}(t_v)|t_v\in k_v\}$ be a sequence of one-parameter unipotent k_S-subgroup of G, such that*

$u^{(i)}(t) \to u(t)$ for any t as $i \to \infty$. Let $x_i \to G/\Gamma$ converge to the point $x \in G/\Gamma$, and let $\mathsf{T}_i \to \infty$. For any bounded continuous function $\phi : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\mathsf{T}_i|} \int_{I(\mathsf{T}_i)} \phi(u_t^{(i)} x_i)\, d\lambda_S(t) \to \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi\, d\mu.$$

Let us briefly sketch the proof of this theorem. The main ingredient of the proof is the quantitative non-divergence theorem, whose S-adic analogue, Theorem 2.3, is proven in [7]. Arguing by contradiction, one assume that there exists a sequence x_i of points for which the statement is not true. Using the density of the set of generic points, one can easily show that x_i could be assumed to be generic for u_t. Also using the quantitative non-divergence, one can prove that there is no escape of mass to infinite, and then one easily shows that the limiting measure is invariant under the action of u_t. The measure classification of Ratner will then finish the proof. For details, we refer the reader to [2].

3 S-adic linearization

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a subgroup of class $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbf{G}$. Using Chevalley's theorem, there exists a k-rational representation $\rho : G \to \mathbf{GL}(V_{\mathbf{P}})$ such that $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{P})$ equals the stabilizer of a line in V spanned by a vector $\mathbf{m} \in V(k)$. This representation and the vector $\mathbf{m}$ is fixed throughout this paper. Let χ be the k-rational character of $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{P})$ defined by $\chi(g)m = g.m$, for $g \in N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{P})$. We denote $\mathbf{N} = \{g \in \mathbf{G} : g\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}\}$ and $N = \mathbf{N}(k_S)$. We also set $\Gamma_N = \Gamma \cap N$ and $\Gamma_P = \Gamma \cap N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{P})$. The orbit map $\eta : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} \subseteq V_{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined by $\eta(g) = g\mathbf{m}$. $\mathbf{G}m$ is isomorphic to the quasi-affine variety $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{N}$ and η is a quotient map. Set $\mathbf{X} = \{g \in \mathbf{G} : Ug \subseteq g\mathbf{P}\}$ and let $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ denote the Zariski closure of $\eta(X(P,U))$. Clearly $\mathbf{X}$ is an algebraic variety of $\mathbf{G}$ defined over k_S and $\mathbf{X}(k_S) = X(P,U)$. It is not hard to show (see [7]) that

$$\eta^{-1}(A_{\mathbf{P}}) = X(P,U).$$

It will be useful to consider the map $\mathfrak{R} : G/\Gamma \to V_{\mathbf{P}}$ defined as follows. For each $x \in G/\Gamma$, we define

$$\mathfrak{R}(x) = \{\eta_{\mathbf{P}}(g) : g \in G, x = g\Gamma\}.$$

For $D \subseteq A_{\mathbf{P}}$ and for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we define the γ-overlaps of D by

$$\mathfrak{O}^{\gamma}(D) = \{g\Gamma : \eta_{\mathbf{P}}(g) \in D, \eta_{\mathbf{P}}(g\gamma) \in D\} \subseteq G/\Gamma.$$

Finally, we set

$$\mathfrak{O}(D) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma - \Gamma_{\mathbf{P}}} \mathfrak{O}^{\gamma}(D) \subseteq G/\Gamma.$$

Throughout this paper, we will use a number of properties of the overlaps. These are formulated in the following lemma, whose proof is straightforward:

Lemma 3.1. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{P}}$, and $D \subseteq A_{\mathbf{P}}$ we have*

1. $\mathfrak{O}^{e}(D) = \{x \in G/\Gamma : \mathfrak{R}(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$.
2. $\mathfrak{O}^{\gamma}(D) = \mathfrak{O}^{\gamma\gamma_1}(D)$.

In this section, we will use the same notation as above. For each subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ of class $\mathcal{F}$ relative to S, we will denote $I_{\mathbf{P}} = \{g \in \mathbf{G} : \rho_{\mathbf{P}} m_{\mathbf{P}} = m_{\mathbf{P}}\}$. The proof of the following proposition is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 7.1 in [2].

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $\mathbf{P}$ is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{F}$ relative to S, and $C \subseteq V_{\mathbf{P}}$ be compact. Assume also that $\mathscr{K} \subseteq G/\Gamma$ is compact. Then there exists a compact set $\widetilde{C} \subseteq G$ such that*

$$\pi(\widetilde{C}) = \{x \in \mathscr{K} : \mathfrak{R}(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a subgroup of class* $\mathcal{F}$ *relative to* S *and* $D \subseteq A_{\mathbf{P}}$ *be compact. Let* $\mathscr{K} \subseteq G/\Gamma$ *be compact. Then the family*

$$\{\mathscr{K} \cap \mathfrak{O}^{\gamma}(D)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$$

contains only finitely many distinct elements. Moreover, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$*, there exists a compact set* $\widetilde{C}_{\gamma} \subseteq \eta_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(D) \cap \eta_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(D)\gamma$ *such that*

$$\mathscr{K} \cap \mathfrak{O}^{\gamma}(D) = \pi(\widetilde{C}_k).$$

Proof. The argument for finiteness from Proposition 7.2. in [2] can be carried over verbatim to this case. □

Let us denote by $\mathscr{E}$ the class of subsets of G of the form

$$E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \eta_{\mathbf{P}_i}^{-1}(D_i)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_i$ are subgroup of class $\mathcal{F}$ and $D_i \subseteq A_{\mathbf{P}_i}$ are compact. For such a set E (together with the given decomposition), we denote $\mathcal{N}(E)$ to be the family of all neighborhoods of the form

$$\Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \eta_{\mathbf{P}_i}^{-1}(\Theta_i)$$

where $\Theta_i \supset D_i$ are neighborhoods in $V_{\mathbf{P}_i}$. We will refer to these neighborhoods as components of Φ.

We will now prove a theorem which is a stronger version of the theorem in Tomanov.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\mathscr{K} \subseteq G/\Gamma$ *be compact and* $\epsilon > 0$*. Given* $E \in \mathscr{E}$*, there exists* $E' \in \mathscr{E}$ *such that the following holds: given* $\Phi \in \mathcal{N}(E')$*, there exists a neighborhood* $\Omega \supseteq \pi(E)$ *such that for any one-parameter unipotent subgroup* $\{u_t\}$ *of* G*, and any* $g \in G$*, and* $r_0 > 0$*, one of the following holds:*

1. *A component of* Φ *contains* $\{u(t)g\gamma : t \in I_v(r)\}$ *for some* $\gamma \in \Gamma$*.*
2. *For all* $r > r_0$*, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_T(\mathsf{T})}\lambda_T\{t \in I_v(r) \setminus I_v(r_0) : u(t)g\Gamma \in \Omega \cap \mathscr{K}\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. It is clear that we can assume that $E = \eta_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(C)$ and that E is $S(v)$-small. We will now proceed by the induction on $\dim \mathbf{P}$. The result is clearly valid for $\dim \mathbf{P} = 0$. Let us assume that it is known for all $\mathbf{P}$ with dimension at most $n-1$ and that $C \subseteq A_{\mathbf{P}}$, with $\dim \mathbf{P} = n$. Applying Proposition 2.5 to the set C (as a compact subset of the Zariski closed set of $A_{\mathbf{P}}$), we obtain a compact subset D of $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ such that for a compact neighborhood Φ of D in $A_{\mathbf{P}}$, there exists a neighborhood Ψ of C in $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ such that for any one-parameter subgroup $\{u_t\}$ of $\mathbf{GL}(V_{\mathbf{P}})$ and any $\mathbf{w} \in V_{\mathbf{P}} - \Phi$, and any interval $I \subseteq k_v$ containing 0, we have

$$\lambda_v\{t \in I : u_t\mathbf{w} \in \Psi\} \leq \epsilon \cdot \lambda_v\{t \in T : u_t\mathbf{w} \in \Phi\}.$$

Note that since the set of the roots of unity in K is finite, we can choose D such that $\omega D = D$ for every root of unity $\omega \in K$. Note that D can be chosen to be $S(v)$-small. Now, let $B = \eta_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(D)$.

By Proposition 3.3 the family of sets $\{\mathscr{K} \cap O^{\gamma}(D)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is finite, hence consisting of the sets $\mathscr{K} \cap O^{\gamma_j}(D)$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. We assume that $\gamma_1 = e$. Moreover, we can write $\mathscr{K} \cap O^{\gamma_j}(D) = \pi(C_j)$ for some compact subset $C_j \subseteq B \cap B\gamma_j^{-1} \subseteq X(\mathbf{P} \cap \gamma_j \mathbf{P} \gamma_j^{-1}, W)$. We claim that $\gamma_j \notin \Gamma_{\mathbf{P}}$ for $j \geq 2$. Assuming the contrary, we obtain $\rho(\gamma_j)\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}} = \chi(\gamma_j)\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}}$. Since $\chi(\gamma_j) \in \mathcal{O}^*$, we have $\eta(b\gamma_j) = \chi(\gamma_j)\eta(b) \in D$. Since D is $S(v)$-small, we obtain that $\chi(\gamma_j)$ is a root of unity in k_v^*. This shows that $B\gamma_j \subseteq \eta^{-1}(D) = B$,

which is a contradiction to the choice of γ_j. This shows that for $j \geq 2$, $\mathbf{P} \cap \gamma_j \mathbf{P} \gamma_j^{-1}$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathbf{P}$. Hence there exists a subgroup $\mathbf{P}_j$ of class F which is contained in the connected component of $\mathbf{P} \cap \gamma_j \mathbf{P} \gamma_j^{-1}$. Note that $\mathbf{P}_j$ is of dimension less than n, and $C_j \subseteq X(\mathbf{P}_j, W)$. We now set $E_j = \eta_{\mathbf{P}_j}^{-1}(\eta_{\mathbf{P}_j}(C_j))$ and apply the induction hypothesis to obtain $E_j' \in \mathscr{E}$ such that for any choice of $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{N}(E_j')$, we can find neighborhoods Ω_j of E_j such that for any one-parameter subgroup $(u(t))_{t \in k_v}$ of G, $g \in G$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$\lambda_v\{t \in I_v(r) : u(t)g\Gamma \in \Omega_j \cap \mathscr{K}\} \leq (\epsilon/2k)r$$

unless there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\{u(t)g\gamma : t \in I_v(r)$ is contained in a component of Φ_j. Set, $E'' = \bigcup_{j=2}^n E_j' \in \mathscr{E}$, and $E' = E'' \cap B$. Consider $\Phi \in \mathcal{N}(E')$. This shows that the there exists a neighborbood Ω' of $\pi(E'')$ such that for any one-parameter unipotent subgroup $\{u(t)\}_{t \in k_v}$, and every $g \in G$ and $r_0 > 0$, we have

$$\lambda_v(t \in I_v(r_0) : t(t)g\Gamma \in \Omega' \cap \mathscr{K}\} \leq \frac{\epsilon r}{2}$$

unless $\{u(t)g\gamma : t \in I_v(r_0)\}$ is in a component of Φ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Set $\mathscr{K}_1 = \mathscr{K} - \Omega'$, and choose a compact subset $K' \subseteq G$ such that $\pi(K') = \mathscr{K}_1$. Let Φ_1 be a neighborhood of D in V such that $\eta_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(\Phi_1) \subseteq \Phi$ and $O(\Phi_1) \cap \mathscr{K}_1 = \emptyset$. Since D is $S(v)$-small, we can clearly choose Φ_1 to be $S(v)$-small. Note that since $\rho(u(t))$ is a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of $\mathbf{GL}(V)$, and $\eta_{\mathbf{P}}(C)$ is of relative size less than $\epsilon/4$ in D, we can find a neighborhood Ψ of C in V satisfying

$$\lambda_v(\{t \in I_v(r) : \rho(u(t))v \in \Psi\}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}\lambda_v(\{t \in I_v(r) : \rho(u(t))\mathbf{v} \in \Phi_1\}),$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in V - \Phi_1$, $r > 0$ and unipotent subgroups $\{u(t)\}_{t \in k_v}$. Let $\Omega = \pi(\eta_{\mathbf{P}}(\Psi)) \subseteq G/\Gamma$. Assuming that (1) does not hold for $g \in G$, a one-parameter subgroup $\{u(t)\}_{t \in k_v}$, and $r_0 > 0$. This implies that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists $t \in I_v(r_0)$ such that $u(t)g\gamma \in G - \Phi$. For $q \in \mathbf{M}$, we consider the following sets:

$$J_1(\mathbf{q}) = \{t \in I_v(r) - I_v(r_0) : \rho(u(t)g)\mathbf{q} \in \Phi_1\}.$$

$$J_2(\mathbf{q}) = \{t \in I_v(r) - I_v(r_0) : \rho(u(t)g)\mathbf{q} \in \Psi, \pi(u(t)g) \in \mathscr{K}_1\}.$$

Note that $J_1(\mathbf{q})$ is an open subset of k_v and is hence a disjoint union of intervals. We will also define $J_3(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq J_1(\mathbf{q})$ as follows: if v is an archimedean place, then $J_3(\mathbf{q})$ consists of those $t \in J_1(\mathbf{q})$ such that for some $a \geq 0$, we have $[t, t+a] \subseteq J_1(\mathbf{q})$ and $\pi(u(t+a)g) \in \mathscr{K}_1$. If v is a non-archimedean place, then $J_3(\mathbf{q})$ consists of those $t \in J_1(\mathbf{q})$ such that there exists an interval $J \subseteq k_v$ containing t and $t' \in J$ such that $\pi(u(t')g) \in \mathscr{K}_1$. Clearly $J_3(\mathbf{q})$ is open in k_v, and is hence a disjoint union of intervals. We first make the following claim:

Claim: $J_3(\mathbf{q}_1) \cap J_3(\mathbf{q}_2) = \emptyset$ for $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in \mathbf{M}$, unless $\mathbf{q}_2 = \omega\mathbf{q}_1$ for some root of unity $\omega \in K^*$.

In the archimedean case, if $t \in J_3(\mathbf{q}_1) \cap J_3(\mathbf{q}_2)$, then there exists $a \geq 0$ such that $[t, t+a] \subseteq J_1(\mathbf{q}_1) \cap J_1(\mathbf{q}_2)$ and $\pi(u(t+a)g) \in \mathscr{K}_1$. If $q_j = \eta(\gamma_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $\eta(u(t+a)g\gamma_1) = \eta(u(t+a)g\gamma_2) \in \Phi_1$, we will have $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in O(\Phi) \cap \mathscr{K}_1 = \emptyset$, unless $\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{P}}$, which implies that $\mathbf{q}_2 = \omega\mathbf{q}_1$.

In the non-archimedean case, if $t \in J_3(\mathbf{q}_1) \cap J_3(\mathbf{q}_2)$, then $t \in J_1(\mathbf{q})$ and there exist intervals $J(\mathbf{q}_1), J(\mathbf{q}_2) \subseteq k_v$ containing t and $t_1' \in J(\mathbf{q}_1)$ and $t_2' \in J(\mathbf{q}_2)$ such that $\pi(u(t_1')g), \pi(u(t_2')g) \in \mathscr{K}_1$. Note that since $J(\mathbf{q}_1)$ and $J(\mathbf{q}_2)$ intersect one contains the other, hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that $t_1' \in J(\mathbf{q}_1) \cap J(\mathbf{q}_2)$, and $\pi(u(t_1')g) \in \mathscr{K}_1$. The rest of the argument is as in the archimedean case.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ be the family of those components $L = I_v(a, r_1)$ of $J_1(\mathbf{q})$ such that $L \cap I_v(r_0) = \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{L}_2$ the rest of components. Note that $\hat{L} \not\subseteq I_v(r_0)$. This implies that

$$\lambda_v(\hat{L} \cap J_2(\mathbf{q})) \leq \lambda_v(\hat{L} \cap J_3(\mathbf{q})).$$

From here and using the above claim we have

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_1}\lambda_v(\hat{L}\cap J_2(\mathbf{q}))\leq\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_1}\lambda_v(\hat{L}\cap J_3(\mathbf{q}))\leq\lambda\lambda_v(I_v(r)-I_v(r_0)).$$

We now claim that

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_2}\lambda_v(L)\leq\lambda_v(I_v(r)).$$

In fact, if $L\in\mathcal{L}_2$, then either $L\subseteq I_v(r_0)$ or $I_v(r_0)\subseteq L$. If $I_v(r_0)\subseteq L$ for some $L\in\mathcal{L}_2$, then since components are disjoint, $\mathcal{L}_2$ has precisely one element and the result follows. So, assume that for each $L\in\mathcal{L}_2$, we have $L\subseteq I_v(r_0)$. Then the disjointness of components imply that

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_2}\lambda_v(L)\leq\lambda_v(I_v(r_0)).$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a k-algebraic group, $G=\mathbf{G}(k_S)$, and Γ an S-arithmetic lattice in G. Let U be a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of G. Assume that $\mathbf{P}_1,\dots,\mathbf{P}_k$ are subgroups of class $\mathcal{F}$ for $1\leq i\leq k$, and let D_i be a compact subset of $A_{\mathbf{P}_i}$, and Θ_i be a compact neighborhood of D_i in $V_{\mathbf{P}_i}$. For a given compact set $\mathscr{K}\subseteq G/\Gamma$, there exists $\mathbf{P}'_1,\dots,\mathbf{P}'_k$ of class $\mathcal{F}$ and compact subsets D'_i be a compact subset of $A_{\mathbf{P}'_i}$, $1\leq i\leq k$, such that for any compact set $\mathscr{F}\subseteq\mathscr{K}-\bigcup_{i=1}^k(\eta_{\mathbf{P}_i}^{-1}(D_i)\cup\eta_{\mathbf{P}'_i}^{-1}(D'_i))\Gamma/\Gamma$, there exists T_0 such that for any $g\in G$ with $g\Gamma\in\mathscr{F}$, and $1\leq i\leq k$, there exists $t\in B(\mathsf{T}_0)$ such that $u_tg\notin\eta_{\mathscr{P}_i}^{-1}(\Theta_i)$.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition is very similar to the proof of Proposition 8.1. in [2]. Let us denote by $I_{\mathbf{P}}$ consists of $g\in G$ with $g.\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}}=\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}}$. We first claim that there exists a subgroup $\mathbf{P}'$ of class $\mathcal{F}$ such that $X(I_{\mathbf{P}},U)\subseteq X(\mathbf{P}',U)$. In fact, let $\mathbf{P}'$ be the smallest connected algebraic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ which contains all the unipotent elements of $I_{\mathbf{P}}$. Note that since $\mathbf{P}'$ is generated by unipotent subgroups, we have $X_k(\mathbf{G})=\{1\}$, where $X_k(\mathbf{G})$ denotes the group of characters of G defined over k. It follows from Theorem 12.3. of [4] that $\mathbf{G}'\cap\Gamma$ is a lattice in $\mathbf{G}'$. We can now show that $\mathbf{G}'$ is of class $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathbf{P}'_1,\dots,\mathbf{P}'_k$ be chosen as above such that $X(I_{\mathbf{P}_i},U)\subseteq X(\mathbf{P}',U)$ for all $1\leq i\leq k$. We will also define

$$Q_i=\{w\in\Theta_i:\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(u_t)w=w,\ \forall t\}.$$

Using Proposition 3.2, we can find compact subsets $C_i\subseteq\epsilon_{\mathbf{P}_i}^{-1}(Q_i)$, $1\leq i\leq k$ such that

$$\pi(\widetilde{C_i})=\{x\in Q_i:\mathfrak{R}(x)\cap C_i\neq\emptyset\}.$$

This implies that $C_i\subseteq X(\mathbf{P}_i,U)$. Consider the compact sets $D'_i=\eta_{\mathbf{P}'_i}(C_i)\subseteq A_{\mathbf{P}'_i}$ and assume that $\mathscr{F}$ is a compact subset of $\mathscr{K}-\pi(\bigcup_{i=1}^k(\eta_{\mathbf{P}_i}^{-1}(D_i)\cup\eta_{\mathbf{P}'_i}^{-1}(D'_i))$ is given. Find a compact subset $F'\subseteq G$ such that $\mathscr{F}=\pi(F')$. From the fact that $\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(\Gamma)\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}_i}$ is a discrete subset of $V_{\mathbf{P}_i}$, it follows that there are only finitely many $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $\eta_{\mathbf{P}_i}(\gamma)\in\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(F')^{-1}\Theta_i$ for some $1\leq i\leq k$. It thus suffices to show that for each $1\leq i\leq k$ and $\gamma\in\Gamma$, for all large enough T the set $\Theta_i\cap\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(u_i)(\Theta_i\cap\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(F'\gamma)\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}_i})=\emptyset$. Note that $\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(F'\gamma)\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{P}_i}\cap\Theta_i$ is a compact subset of $V_{\mathbf{P}_i}$ which does not contain any fixed point of the flow $\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(u_t)$. Since $\rho_{\mathbf{P}_i}(u_t)$ is a unipotent one-parameter subgroup of $\mathbf{GL}(V_{\mathbf{P}_i})$ the result follows.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For a bounded continuous function ϕ defined on G/Γ, the one-parameter unipotent group (u_t) and time box T, and $x\in G/\Gamma$, we define

$$\Delta(\phi,u_t,x,\mathsf{T})=\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_T(I(\mathsf{T}))}\int_{I(\mathsf{T})}\phi(u_tx)d\lambda_T(t)-\int_{G/\Gamma}\phi d\mu\right|.$$

Let us consider the above statement with S replaced by $T \subseteq S$ everywhere. We will prove the statement first for the case $|T| = 1$. Then we will show that if the statement holds for T_1 and T_2, then it must also hold for $T_1 \cup T_2$. Let us start with the case $T = \{v\}$ for some $v \in S$. We argue by contradiction. Assume that the statement of the theorem is not true. This implies the existence of a bounded continuous function $\phi : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$, a compact subset $\mathscr{K}_1 \subseteq G/\Gamma$, and $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any proper subgroups $\mathbf{P}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_k$ of class $\mathcal{F}$, and any compact subsets $C_i \subseteq X(P_i, U)$, where $P_i = \mathbf{P}(k_S)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists a compact set $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{K} - \cup_i C_i\Gamma/\Gamma$ such that for all $T_0 > 0$, there exists T with $m(\mathsf{T}) > T_0$, and $x \in F$ such that

$$\Delta(\phi, u_t, x, \mathsf{T}) > \epsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that ϕ has a compact support, and $\|\phi\| \leq 1$. There exists a compact subset $\mathscr{K} \subseteq G/\Gamma$ such that for all $x \in \mathscr{K}_1$ and T, we have

$$\lambda_T\{t \in I(\mathsf{T}) : u_t x \notin \mathscr{K}\} < \frac{1}{3}\lambda_T(I(\mathsf{T})). \tag{1}$$

We can now apply to construct an increasing sequence $E_i \subseteq E_{i+1}$ in $\mathscr{E}$ such that

1. The family $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ exhausts the singular set of U, i.e., $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \mathscr{S}(U)$.
2. For each $i \geq 1$, there exists an open neighborhood $\Omega_i \supset E_i\Gamma/E_i$ such that for any compact set $F \subseteq \mathscr{K} - E_{i+1}\Gamma/\Gamma$, there exists T_{i+1} such that for all $x \in F$ and $\mathsf{T} \succ \mathsf{T}'_i$ we have

$$\lambda_T\{t \in I(\mathsf{T}) : u_t x \in \Omega_i \cap \mathscr{K}\} \leq \frac{1}{4^i}\lambda_T(I(\mathsf{T})). \tag{2}$$

For $i \geq 1$, write $\mathscr{K} \cap \pi(E_i) = \bigcup \pi(C_j)$ for some compact sets $C_j \in X(P_j, U)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. As we are arguing by contradiction, we can find a compact subset $\mathscr{F}_i \subseteq \mathscr{K}_1 - \pi(E_{i+1})$ such that for each T_0 there exists $x \in \mathscr{F}_i$ and $\mathsf{T} \geq \mathsf{T}_0$ such that $\Delta(\phi, u_t, x, \mathsf{T}) > \epsilon$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|\mathsf{T}_1| \leq |\mathsf{T}_2| \leq \cdots$. This implies that there exists $x_i \in F_i$ and σ_i such that $\Delta(\phi, u_t, x_i, \sigma_i) > \epsilon$. From (1) and (2), we obtain for each $j \geq 1$, a time $t_j \in I(\mathsf{T}_j)$ such that $u_{t_j} x_j \in \mathscr{K} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \Omega_i$. This implies that

$$\Delta(\phi, u_t, \sigma_j, y_j) \geq \epsilon - 2\frac{|\mathsf{T}_j|}{|\sigma_j|} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

As $y_j \in \mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{K}$ is compact, there exists a limit point $y \in \mathscr{K}$. By construction, $y \notin \Omega_j$ for all $j \geq 1$. This shows that y is not a singular point for U. Now, we can apply Theorem 2.6 to the convergent subsequence of $\{y_j\}$ and the corresponding subsequence of σ_i, to obtain a contradiction. Let us now turn to the general case. Assume that the statement is known for $T_1, T_2 \subseteq S$, and $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$. We write $U_1 = (u_v(t_v))_{v \in T_1}$ and $U_2 = (u_v(t_v))_{v \in T_2}$. Note that there exists a compact subset $\mathscr{K}_1 \subseteq G/\Gamma$ such that for all $x \in \mathscr{K}$ and any interval $I(\mathsf{T}) \subseteq K_{T_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_j(I(\mathsf{T}))}\lambda_j\{t \in I(\mathsf{T}) : u_j(t) \in \mathscr{K}_1\} \geq 1 - \epsilon/16.$$

Here, we have used the shorthands $u_1(t) = (u_v(t_v))_{v \in T_1}$ and $d\lambda_1$ for the Haar measure on $\prod_{v \in T_1} k_v$.

By the induction hypothesis, there exist finitely many proper subgroups $\mathbf{P}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_k$ of class $\mathcal{F}$, and compact subsets $C_i \subseteq X(P_i, U_1)$, where $P_i = \mathbf{P}_i(k_S)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that the following holds: for any compact subset $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{K}_1 - \cup_i C_i\Gamma/\Gamma$ there exists T_0 such that for all $x \in \mathscr{F}$ and T with $m(\mathsf{T}) > T_0$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_1(I(\mathsf{T}))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T})} \phi(u_1(t)x) d\lambda_1(t) - \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi d\mu \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16}.$$

Since $C_i\Gamma/\Gamma \subseteq G/\Gamma$ has measure zero, we can choose neighborhoods N_i of $C_i\Gamma/\Gamma$ of measure at most $\epsilon/16k$. Now, let ϕ_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$ be a continuous function such whose restriction to U_i is 1, and

$\int_{G/\Gamma} \phi_i < \epsilon/8k$. By applying the induction hypothesis to $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$, we can find finitely many proper subgroups $\mathbf{Q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{Q}_l$ of class $\mathcal{F}$, and compact subsets $D_i \subseteq X(Q_i, U_2)$, where $Q_i = \mathbf{Q}_i(k_S)$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, such that the following holds: for any compact subset $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{K} - \cup_i D_i\Gamma/\Gamma$ there exists T_1 such that for all $x \in \mathscr{F}$ and T_2 with $m(\mathsf{T}_2) > T_1$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_2(I(\mathsf{T}))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T})} \phi_i(u_2(t)x) d\lambda_2(t) - \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi_i d\mu \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16k}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Since $\phi_i(x) = 1$ for all $x \in U_i$, we obtain

$$\lambda_2 \left\{ t_2 \in I(\mathsf{T}_2) : u_2(t_2)x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} N_i \right\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16}.$$

Let $A = \{t_2 \in I(\mathsf{T}_2) : u_2(t_2)x \in \mathscr{K}_1\}$. Note that by the choice of $\mathscr{K}_1$, we have

$$\lambda_2(A) \geq (1 - \epsilon/16)\lambda_2(I(\mathsf{T}_2)).$$

Combining the last two equations, we obtain

$$\lambda_2 \left\{ t_2 \in I(\mathsf{T}_2) : u_2(t_2)x \in \mathscr{K}_1 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} N_i \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{8}.$$

Since $\mathscr{K}_1 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} N_i$ is a compact subset of $\mathscr{K}_1$, disjoint from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_i\Gamma/\Gamma$, we have there exists T_2 such that for all $x \in \mathscr{K}_1 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} N_i$ and T with $m(\mathsf{T}) > T_2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_1(I(\mathsf{T}_1))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T}_1)} \phi(u_1(t)x) d\lambda_1(t) - \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi d\mu \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16}.$$

Let us now consider

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(I(\mathsf{T}))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T})} \phi(u(t)x) d\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1(I(\mathsf{T}_1))} \frac{1}{\lambda_2(I(\mathsf{T}_2))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T}_1)\times I(\mathsf{T}_2)} \phi(u_1(t_1)u_2(t_2)x) d\lambda_2(t_1) d\lambda_1(t_2).$$

Combining the last two inequalities show that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda(I(\mathsf{T}))} \int_{I(\mathsf{T})} \phi(u(t)x) d\lambda(t) - \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

It follows that the union $X(\mathbf{P}_i), U), X(\mathbf{Q}_j, U)$ will satisfy the conditions of the theorem. □

References

[1] Borel, Armand and Prasad, Gopal, *Values of isotropic quadratic forms at S-integral points* Compositio Math. 83 (1992), no. 3, 347-372.

[2] Dani, S. G. and Margulis, G. A., *Limit distributions of orbits of unipotent flows and values of quadratic forms* I. M. Gelfand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., 16, 91–137, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993,

[3] A. Eskin, G. Margulis and S. Mozes, *Upper bounds and asymptotics in a quantitative version of the Oppenheim conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 147 (1998), no. 1, 93-141.

[4] A.Borel, Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. Math. 75 (1962) 485-535.

[5] V. Platonov, A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory* , Academic Press, INC., 1994.

[6] M. Ratner, *Raghunathan's conjectures for Catesian products of real and p-adic Lie groups*, Duke Math. J. 77 (1995), no. 2, 275-382.

[7] G. Tomanov, *Orbits on Homogeneous Spaces of Arithmetic Origin and Approximations*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 26, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2000.